Long-Term Experimental Plan Ad Hoc Meeting September 14, 2004 BIA Conference Room A Phoenix, Arizona

Attendees: M Barger, N Henderson, J Lovich, D Garrett, P Garrett, G Burton, T Melis, H Fairley, C Kincaid, M Liszewski, G Knowles, D Kubly

Action: Present results of meeting to TWG Sep 27-28

- I. Review of hybrid design and interpretations
- II. Identify management actions and treatments that would be included in the design (brainstorming level):
  - a. Mechanical Removal as conducted at present and a research and development of new approaches to mechanical removal, including mainstream and tributaries
  - b. Warming of water with a TCD no earlier than 2007
  - c. Dam releases
    - 1. High probability of 8.23 years in near future (5-7 yrs)

2.

- d. HBC translocations and augmentation
- e. Sediment and turbidity augmentation
- f. HBC habitat enhancement in LCR
- g. Fish disease and parasite control measures
- h. Vegetation removal (ongoing by NPS in FY 04)
- i. Repatriation of native species
- j. Transgenic fish
- k. Biocontrol (related to g and j)
- III. Known and unknown effects: <u>example evaluation professional opinions of attendees</u>
  - a. Mechanical removal

| Actions and Treatments |                 |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----|----------|---------|---------------|--|--|--|
|                        | Mech Removal    | TCD | Dam      | Chub    | Sed/Turbidity |  |  |  |
|                        |                 |     | Releases | Augment |               |  |  |  |
| Trout                  | Reduces #s      |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | (both) and size |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | structure (RBT  |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | only) locally & |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | temporarily     |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
| Native Fish            | U; need R&D     |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | on capture &    |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
|                        | effects         |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |
| HBC                    | U; need R&D     |     |          |         |               |  |  |  |

|                 | on ms capture & effects              |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Non-native fish | Reduce #s of<br>carp; need<br>R&D    |  |  |
| Recreation      | U                                    |  |  |
| Cultural        | Known TCP<br>neg; mitigated          |  |  |
| Socioeconomic   | U; anecdotal information on negative |  |  |
| Sediment        | K; no effect                         |  |  |
| Hydropower      | K; no effect                         |  |  |
| Terrestrial     | U; bird food                         |  |  |
| Resources       | base??                               |  |  |

| Actions and Treatments |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|--|--|
|                        | Parasite | Repatriation | Veg     | Biocontrol | Transgenic |  |  |
|                        | Control  |              | Removal |            | Fish       |  |  |
| Trout                  |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Native Fish            |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| HBC                    |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Non-native             |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| fish                   |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Recreation             |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Cultural               |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Socioeconomic          |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Sediment               |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Hydropower             |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Terrestrial            |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |
| Resources              |          |              |         |            |            |  |  |

- IV. Criteria for Assessment of "Known Effects" and "Unknown Effects"
  - a. Quality of Information (expert opinion, agency reports, peer-reviewed journal; need to establish hierarchy of acceptance for sources of information)
  - b. Quantity of information (has experiment been done more than once; same results if so?)
  - c. Level of risk to resource; if risk is high, consider carefully; opportunity cost
  - d. Cost of implementing action (short-term and long-term; relate to risk)
  - e. Evidence exists for Grand Canyon vs. evidence exists for other comparable systems

f. Has a knowledge assessment been done for this interaction (cause and effect relationship)

## V. Process and Schedule

- Define actions and treatments—Begun 9/14/04; continue at TWG meeting Sep 27-28, finish by Oct 20—LTEP Ad Hoc, GCMRC, TWG, Professional experts
- b. Establish criteria for known and unknown effects—December 2004—LTEP Ad Hoc, GCMRC, TWG
- c. Knowledge assessment—February 2005—GCMRC, Cooperating Scientists
  - What is it? Aggregation of information gathered from studies in Grand Canyon and on other related systems
- d. Conduct workshop—March 2005—GCMRC, LTEP Ad Hoc Process: GCMRC will produce a synthetic (but brief) document (c:knowledge assessment) of the proposed actions/treatments and their effects prior to the workshop; they will provide presentations and be available to respond to questions on the assessment; the criteria will then be applied to the actions/treatments by workshop participants, who include subject matter experts (scientists and managers), experimental design experts, and biostatisticians, to determine whether actions/treatments belong in the known effects or unknown effects category; following this determination workshop participants will provide input to GCMRC on the selection of experiments and the design of those experiments. Purpose: Evaluate knowns and unknowns; provide input to GCMRC for development of the LTEP at level of defining treatments
- e. TWG Update and Input—May 2005
- f. Draft plan—July 2005—AMWG review—GCMRC
- g. Science advisor risk assessment—October 2005
- h. TWG Review—November 2005
- i. Finalize plan—December 2005—AMWG review—GCMRC
- j. Recommendation to Secretary—January 2006—AMWG
- k. Begin compliance if DOI accepts—January 2006—Action agencies